Hon. William L. Dixon 1 Hearing Date: May 2, 2025 2 Hearing Time: 11 00 a.m. With Oral A-gument 3 4 5 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 7 8 HEATHER LOSCHEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 9 NO. 24-2-00597-8 SEA Plaintiffs. 10 [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT ٧. 11 GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION SHORELINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE, an FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND 12 agency of the State of Washington, ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND SERVICE AWARD 13 Defendant. 14 **WHEREAS**, the above-captioned class action is pending in this Court (the "Action"); 15 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Heather Loschen ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all 16 others similarly situated, and Shoreline Community College ("SCC" or "Defendant") have 17 entered into a Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") that settles the above-18 captioned litigation and provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted 19 20 against Defendant in the above-captioned action (the "Action") on the terms and conditions set 21 forth in the Settlement Agreement, that was approved by this Court; 22 WHEREAS, Plaintiff has made an application, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Was lington 23 Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order preliminarily approving the Settlement in accordance 24 with the Settlement Agreement, certifying the Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement 25 only, appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, appointing Class Counsel as counsel for the 26 27 TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 FINAL APPROVAL AND ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND Seattle, Washington 98101

TEL. 206.682.5600 • FAX 206.682 2992

SERVICE AWARD - 1

Settlement Class, appointing CPT Group, and allowing notice to Settlement Class Members as more fully described herein;

WHEREAS, the Court granted Plaintiffs' application for an order preliminarily approving the Settlement on December 5, 2024.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff has made an application, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure, for a Final Order approving the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, certifying the Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement only, appointing Plaintiff as Class Representatives, appointing Class Counsel as counsel for the Settlement Class, appointing CPT Group, and allowing notice to Settlement Class Members as more fully described herein;

WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered: (a) Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the papers filed and arguments made in connection therewith; and (b) the Settlement Agreement and exhibits attached thereto; and

WHEREAS, on May 2, 2025, the Court held a Final Fairness Hearing to determine whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and whether judgment should be entered dismissing this Action with prejudice. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Service Award (together, the "Motions") and all supporting materials, including but not limited to the Settlement Agreement and the exhibits thereto. The Court received and heard no objections. The Court also considered the oral argument of counsel. Based on this review and the findings below, the Court finds good cause to grant the Motions.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Litigation, all claims raised therein, and all Parties thereto, including the Settlement Class.
- 2. The Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arm's-length, in good faith and without collusion, by capable and experienced counsel, with full knowledge of the facts, the law, and the risks inherent in litigating the Action, and with the active involvement of the Parties. Moreover, the Settlement Agreement confers substantial berrefits on the Settlement Class Members, is not contrary to the public interest, and will provide the Parties with repose from litigation. The Parties faced significant risks, expense, and/or uncertainty from continued litigation of this matter, which further supports the Court's conclusion that the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members.
- 3. The Court grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement in full, including but not limited to the releases therein and the procedures for effecting the Settlement. All Settlement Class Members who have not excluded themselves from the Settlement Class are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 4. The Parties shall carry out their respective obligations under the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms. The relief provided for in the Settlement Agreement shall be made available to the various Settlement Class Members submitting valid Claim Forms, pursuant to the terms and conditions in the Settlement Agreement.

OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION

- 5. No objections to the settlement were submitted. All persons who did not object to the settlement in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement are deemed to have waived any objections, including but not limited to by appeal, collateral attack, or other vise.
 - 6. Only nine class members have submitted valid opt-out requests.

CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

7. Solely for purposes of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval and Order and Judgment, the Court hereby certifies the following Settlement Class:

Settlement Class:

All U.S. residents whose Personal Information was compromised in the data breach disclosed by Shoreline Community College ("Shoreline" or "Defendant"), on or about April 5, 2023. All members of the Settlement Class that do not opt-out of the settlement shall be referred to as Settlement Class Members.

The Settlement Class is estimated to include approximately 400,000 people.

- 8. The Court incorporates its preliminary conclusions in the Preliminary Approval Order regarding the satisfaction of Rule 23 of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure. Because the Settlement Class is certified solely for purposes of settlement, the Court need not address any issues of manageability for litigation purposes.
- 9. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Representative Plaintiff
 Heather Loschen as Class Representative of the Settlement Class and concludes that she has
 fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class and shall continue to do so.
- 10. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Kaleigh N. Boyd and Joan M. Pradhan of Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC as Class Counsel. Class Counsel has fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Classes and shall continue to do so.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARD - 4

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLL C 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 TEL. 206.682.5600 • FAX 206.682 2992

NOTICE TO THE CLASS

Agreement and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order: (i) was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (ii) was reasonably calculated to provide, and did provide due and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class regarding the existence and nature of the Action, certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, the existence and terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the rights of Settlement Class Members to exclude themselves from the settlement, to object and appear at the Final Fairness Hearing and to receive benefits under the Settlement Agreement; and (iii) satisfied the requirements of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and all other applicable law.

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, SERVICE AWARD

- 12. The Court awards Class Counsel \$668,018.49 for attorneys' fees and \$21,981.51 for reimbursement of costs and expenses, for a total award of \$690,000.00. The Court finds this amount to be fair and reasonable. Payment shall be made pursuant to the procedures in \$1,983 84 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. The Court awards a Service Award of \$5,000 to Plaintiff Heather Loschen. The Court finds this amounts is justified by her service to the Settlement Class. Payment shall be made from the Settlement Fund pursuant to ¶¶ 81–82 of the Settlement Agreement.

RELEASE

14. Each Settlement Class Member, including the Class Representative, are deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement and

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARD - 5

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLL C 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 17© Seattle, Washington 98101 TEL, 206.682.5600 • FAX 206.682 2992

including Unknown Claims. The full terms of the release described in this paragraph are set forth in ¶¶ 78–80 of the Settlement Agreement and are specifically approved and incorporated herein by this reference (the "Release"). Further, upon the Effective Date, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, each Settlement Class Member, including Plaintiff, shall directly, indirectly, or in any representative capacity, be permanently barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or participating in any recovery in any action in this or any other forum (other than participation in this Settlement Agreement as provided herein) in which any of the Released Claims is asserted.

15. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Judgment and Order apply to all claims or causes of action settled under the Settlement Agreement, and binds Class Representative and all Settlement Class Members who did not properly request exclusion. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall have maximum res judicata, collateral estoppel, and all other preclusive effect in any and all causes of action, claims for relief, suits, demands, petitions, or any other challenges or allegations that arise out of or relate to the subject matter of the Cases.

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 16. The Court directs the Parties and their counsel to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement, and make available to Settlement Class Members the relief provided for therein, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement's terms and provisions.
- 17. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the settlement, are not, and shall not be construed as, used as, or deemed evidence of, any admission by or against Defendant of liability, fault, wrongdoing, or violation of any leve, or of

the validity or certifiability for litigation purposes of the Settlement Class or any claims that were or could have been asserted in the Action.

- 18. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the settlement shall not be offered or received into evidence, and are not admissible into evidence, in any action or proceeding, except that the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment may be filed in any action by any Defendant or the Settlement Class Members seeking to enforce the Settlement Agreement or the Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 19. If the Effective Date does not occur for any reason, the Action will rever to the status that existed before the Settlement Agreement's execution date, and the Parties snall be restored to their respective positions in the Action as if the Settlement Agreement had never been entered into. No term or draft of the Settlement Agreement, or any part of the Parties' settlement discussions, negotiations, or documentation, will have any effect or be admissible in evidence for any purpose in the Litigation.
- 20. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over this Action and the Parties with respect to interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement for all purposes.
- 21. The Court hereby dismisses the Action in its entirety with prejudice, and vithout fees or costs except as otherwise provided for herein.

//

//

1	NOW, THEREFORE, the Court hereby enters judgment in this matter pursuant t	to the
2	Washington Rules of Civil Procedure.	
3	, of and	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED this 2 nd day of, May 2025.	
5		
6	2.14	
7	The Honorable William Dixon Superior County for State of Washington	
8	In and For King County	
9		
10	Presented By:	
11		
12	Kaleigh N. Boyd, WSBA #52684	
13	Email: kboyd@tousley.com Joan M. Pradhan, WSBA #58134	
14	Email: jpradhan@toulsey.com TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC	
15	1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, WA 98101	
16	Tel: (206) 682-5600 Fax: (206) 682-2992	
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARD - 8

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC
1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 170
Seattle, Washington 98101
TEL. 206.682,5600 • FAX 206.682